UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY

)	
Excelerate Liquefaction)	FE Docket No. 12-146-LNG
Solutions I, LLC)	
)	

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE AND PROTEST OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC GAS ASSOCIATION

Pursuant to Sections 590.303 and 590.304 of the Administrative Procedures with Respect to the Import and Export of Natural Gas,¹ the American Public Gas Association ("APGA") files this motion to intervene and protest in the above captioned proceeding. In support, APGA states the following:

I. COMMUNICATIONS

Any communications regarding this pleading or this proceeding should be addressed to:

David Schryver
Executive Vice President
American Public Gas Association
Suite C-4
201 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002
dschryver@apga.org

William T. Miller
Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C.
Twelfth Floor
1015 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 296-2960
wmiller@mbolaw.com

¹⁰ C.F. R. §§ 590.303, 590.304 (2012).

II. INTERVENTION

APGA is the national, non-profit association of publicly-owned natural gas distribution systems, with some 700 members in 36 states. Overall, there are some 950 publicly-owned systems in the United States. Publicly-owned gas systems are not-for-profit retail distribution entities that are owned by, and accountable to, the citizens they serve. They include municipal gas distribution systems, public utility districts, county districts, and other public agencies that have natural gas distribution facilities. APGA members purchase interstate natural gas transportation services, usually as captive customers of a single interstate pipeline, at rates and under terms and conditions that are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). APGA's members are active participants in the domestic market for natural gas where they secure the supplies of natural gas to serve their end users.

On October 5, 2012, Excelerate Liquefaction Solutions I, LLC ("Excelerate") filed an application in FE Docket No. 12-146-LNG seeking long-term, multi-contract authorization to export approximately 1.33 billion cubic feet per day ("Bcf/d") of domestic natural gas as liquefied natural gas ("LNG") by vessel ("Application"). Excelerate seeks authorization to export LNG from a proposed facility in Calhoun County, Texas to any country with which the United States does not have a Free Trade Agreement requiring the national treatment for trade in natural gas and LNG, that has or in the future develops the capacity to import LNG, and with which trade is not prohibited by U.S. law or policy ("non-FTA Nations").

APGA has a direct and substantial interest in this proceeding that cannot be adequately represented by any other party. APGA respectfully submits that good cause exists to grant its motion to intervene.

III. PROTEST

Excelerate's request for authority to export domestic LNG to non-FTA Nations is inconsistent with the public interest and should be denied. The proposed exports will increase domestic natural gas prices, burdening households and jeopardizing potential growth in the manufacturing sector, as well as the transition away from more environmentally damaging fossil fuels.

The Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy ("DOE/FE") commissioned two studies regarding the effects of LNG exports. The first, conducted by the U.S. Energy Information Administration ("EIA"), studied the impact of LNG exports on domestic prices and concluded that the exports will increase prices, with higher volumes causing more drastic increases. The second, conducted by NERA Economic Consulting, focused on the macroeconomic effects of LNG exports, which it found would be a net positive while at the same time confirming that LNG exports would raise domestic natural gas prices, which would burden the U.S. consumers who can least afford the increase and disadvantage domestic manufacturing. The DOE/FE must consider Excelerate's application in the context of both of these studies, but also go beyond these studies to consider the profound tradeoffs entailed by authorizing the export of a valuable fuel sourced in the U.S. rather than supporting its use domestically.

Increased production of natural gas in the United States provides the Nation with an unprecedented opportunity to pursue energy independence and sustained economic growth

² Effect of Increased Natural Gas Exports on Domestic Energy Markets, U.S. Energy Information Administration (Jan. 2012) ("EIA Export Report"). As requested by the DOE/FE, the EIA Export Report considered four scenarios: (1) 6 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 1 Bcf/d per year (low/slow scenario); (2) 6 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 3 Bcf/d per year (low/rapid scenario); (3) 12 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 1 Bcf/d per year (high/slow scenario); and (4) 12 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 3 Bcf/d per year (high/rapid scenario).

Macroeconomic Impacts of LNG Exports from the United States, NERA Economic Consulting (Dec. 2012) ("NERA Study"). APGA applauds the fact that the merits and demerits of the NERA Study are being assessed independently by DOE/FE in a separate proceeding (77 Fed. Reg. 73627), in which APGA has filed comments.

through a manufacturing renaissance grounded in plentiful, low cost natural gas. Price increases triggered by LNG exports will jeopardize these opportunities as well as the viability of natural gas as a "bridge-fuel" in the transition away from carbon-intensive and otherwise environmentally problematic coal-fired electric generation and inhibit efforts to foster natural gas as a major transportation fuel, which is important to wean the U.S. from its historic and high-risk dependence on foreign oil.

Eventually, Excelerate's plan to export natural gas will not prove economically viable. Economically recoverable domestic natural gas may prove less robust than projected, especially given associated environmental costs and concerns regarding the long-term productivity of shale gas wells. These matters aside, foreign alternatives and U.S. LNG exports will one day erase the price arbitrage opportunity that Excelerate and others seek to exploit.

A. Background

So far, 22 companies have applied to export domestic LNG from the contiguous United States to FTA or non-FTA Nations based on the promise of huge unconventional domestic gas reserves.⁴ Many of those 22 applicants own or are affiliated with companies that own existing or previously planned LNG import terminals. The total export capacity applied for to date is 31.41 Bcf/d and 24.8 Bcf/d to FTA and non-FTA Nations, respectively.⁵ Total marketed natural gas production was approximately 66 Bcf/d in the U.S. in 2011;⁶ therefore, based on current marketed production data, the total applied-for export capacity would have the effect of increasing the demand for natural gas by nearly 48%.

Summary: Long-Term Applications Received by DOE/FE to Export Domestically Produced LNG from the Lower-48 States (as of Jan. 11, 2012), available at: http://fossil.energy.gov/programs/gasregulation/reports/summary_lng_applications.pdf

³ Id

⁶ EIA Export Report.

DOE/FE previously granted Excelerate authority to export 1.33 Bcf/d of LNG to any nation that has, or develops, the capacity to import LNG and with which the United States has, or enters into, a Free Trade Agreement requiring national treatment for trade in natural gas ("FTA Nations"). The DOE/FE granted this authority pursuant to NGA section 3(c), which provides that applications to export shall be "deemed to be consistent with the public interest" and must be "granted without modification or delay." Pursuant to this mandate, the DOE/FE did not have discretion to consider the serious policy implications of granting this export authority and stated that its order "should not be read to indicate DOE's views" regarding the policy arguments raised in Excelerate's application.

Despite the earlier, automatic grant of export authority, the DOE/FE has a duty to ensure that the application before it in the instant proceeding for broader export authority is not inconsistent with the public interest pursuant to NGA section 3(a). The "public interest analysis of export applications" should be "focused on *domestic* need for natural gas," threats to *domestic* supply, and "other factors to the extent they are shown to be relevant." LNG exports will reduce domestic supply, resulting in increased natural gas prices. Meanwhile, relatively low and stable domestic natural gas prices make the United States attractive to manufacturers and make natural gas competitive against coal and fuel oil and viable as a transportation fuel.

APGA respectfully submits that Excelerate's proposal to export domestic LNG to non-FTA Nations is inconsistent with the public interest because it will increase domestic natural gas

⁷ Excelerate Liquefaction Solutions I, LLC, FE Docket No. 12-61-LNG, DOE/FE Order No. 3128 (2012).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. § 717b(c) (2012).

⁹ Order No. 3128 at 5.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. § 717b(a).

Sabine Pass Liquefaction, LLC, Opinion and Order Denying Request for Review Under Section 3(c) of the Natural Gas Act, October 21, 2010, FE Docket No. 10-111-LNG (emphasis supplied).

and electricity prices to the detriment of all consumers, inhibit this Nation's ability to forge a path toward energy independence, and undermine sustained economic growth in key manufacturing sectors. Ultimately, exports by Excelerate and others will bring about a new equilibrium between domestic and international natural gas prices, squandering the current opportunity to take full advantage of lower, non-volatile domestic natural gas prices to boost the U.S. economy.

B. LNG Exports Will Increase Domestic Natural Gas Prices

Excelerate commissioned a study on the impact its proposed exports will have on domestic natural gas prices, which predicts that its exports will increase natural gas prices. ¹² Just as the EIA Export Report found that "[1] arger export levels lead to larger domestic price increases," ¹³ the Deloitte Report concluded that the more gas the U.S. exports, the more domestic prices will increase. ¹⁴ The NERA Study also concluded that the higher the volume of LNG exports, the more domestic natural gas prices will rise. Both DOE-commissioned studies, however, underestimate potential price increases because they are based on outdated projections of domestic demand for natural gas and the questionable assumption that the demand for natural gas is sufficiently elastic to prevent significant price spikes.

The Deloitte Report predicts higher future natural gas prices than the EIA Export Report and other studies commissioned by LNG export applicants¹⁵ because the Deloitte Report recognizes that future demand for natural gas from the electric generation sector will be higher

Deloitte Market Point, Analysis of Economic Impact of LNG Exports from the United States ("Deloitte Report").

EIA Export Report at 6. As requested by the DOE/FE, the EIA Export Report considered four scenarios: (1) 6 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 1 Bcf/d per year (low/slow scenario); (2) 6 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 3 Bcf/d per year (low/rapid scenario); (3) 12 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 1 Bcf/d per year (high/slow scenario); and (4) 12 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 3 Bcf/d per year (high/rapid scenario).

Deloitte Report at 3.

Deloitte Report at 18.

than previously forecasted.¹⁶ According to the Deloitte Report, environmental regulations, coalfired generator retirements, and the need to support intermittent resources will drive demand for natural gas for electric generation. 17 Recent EIA analysis based on more recent data and assumptions than the EIA Export Report similarly finds that electric generation will soon rely more heavily on natural gas to a greater extent than previously predicted. 18

Although the Deloitte Report considers increasing demand from the electric generation sector, it fails to adequately consider future growth in industrial demand from natural gas intensive manufacturing. AEO2013 projects greater increases in demand for natural gas from domestic industry, particularly from the bulk chemicals and primary metals industries and as a result of "higher output in the manufacturing sector." However, even AEO2013 appears to underestimate the coming growth in natural gas use for manufacturing if domestic prices remain low. 20 Much of the projected growth in industrial demand is expected to occur due to new and expanded natural gas intensive manufacturing facilities along the Gulf Coast in Texas and Louisiana – the same region where Excelerate plans to source its exports.²¹

Deloitte Report at 6.

Deloitte Report at 6.

17

On December 5, 2012, the EIA issued the Early Release of its Annual Energy Outlook for 2013 ("AEO2013").

AEO2013 at 2.

See Steven Mufson, The New Boom: Shale Gas Fueling an American Industrial Revival, Washington Post (Nov. 14 (2012) (reporting that manufacturers have plans to invest as much as \$80 billion in U.S. chemical, fertilizer, steel, aluminum, tire and plastics plants); Letter from Edward J. Markey, Ranking Member, House of Representatives Committee on Natural Resources, to Steven Chu, Secretary of Energy (Dec. 14, 2012)("Markey Letter") (stating that AEO2013 domestic demand projections "fail to capture many of the more than 100 newly announced natural gas-intensive manufacturing projects that have been announced over the past 18 months. Those projects represent of \$90 billion in investment and billions of cubic feet of additional future daily natural gas use.").

Anastasia Gnezditskaia, Steady Industrial Demand Growth Predicted, Platt's Gas Daily (Jan. 22, 2013).

C. Effects of Higher Prices

Increases in the price of natural gas due to LNG exports will adversely impact U.S. consumers who can least afford such price increases, inhibit the expansion of domestic manufacturing, and may forestall the further use of natural gas as a bridge fuel away from the carbon-intensive coal and foreign-sourced oil for transportation. The NERA Study describes the effects of LNG exports and the attendant price increases in terms of a "wealth transfer." The DOE/FE must examine in a granular fashion what this wealth transfer would entail for the public interest when evaluating Excelerate's export application.

i. Hurt Economically Vulnerable Households

Proposed LNG exports would raise domestic natural gas prices, which will increase costs to households that rely on natural gas for heating and cooking. NERA projects that these higher costs will be offset by increases in the value of natural gas resources and related companies, which NERA assumes many Americans own through retirement savings and other investments. NERA admits, however, that "[h]ouseholds with income solely from wages or government transfers" will not share in the benefits of increased profits from natural gas. Therefore, the increase in natural gas prices due to exports will impact those consumers without investments or retirement savings, those living paycheck-to-paycheck or relying on government assistance - in other words, the most needy and most vulnerable in our society.

Furthermore, according to Gallup, only 53% of Americans hold individual stocks, stock mutual funds, or stocks in their 401(k) or IRA accounts.²⁴ Of those 53%, it cannot be assumed that every investor holds enough shares in natural gas producing companies to offset losses

See Markey Letter, note 19 supra, casting doubt on the assumption that benefits to the natural gas sector will be widely enjoyed by ordinary American via retirement investments.

NERA Study at 8.

²⁴ See Nathaniel Popper, Retreat from Stock Market Continues, New York Times (May 28, 2012).

elsewhere in the market due to higher natural gas prices or the resulting loss to real wages.

Moreover, as a recent report shows, more than one in four American workers with 401(k) and other retirement savings plans use them to pay current expenses. Contrary to the NERA Study's assumption, it appears that only certain investors, and not the general public, will benefit from the predicted wealth transfer to the natural gas industry resulting from LNG exports.

ii. <u>Suppress Other Domestic Industries</u>

Increased natural gas prices due to proposed LNG exports will raise natural gas and electric energy costs, which will depress both "real wages and return on capital in all other industries" besides the natural gas sector.²⁶ As the NERA study indicates:

As the price of natural gas increases, the economy demands or produces fewer goods and services. This results in lower wages and capital income for consumers. Hence, under such economic conditions, consumers save less of their income for investment.

As a result, industries that rely on natural gas will experience "a reduction in overall output," mitigated by a "switch to fuels that are relatively cheaper." NERA is not concerned by any level of future price increase caused by exports, because it concludes that the "rents" obtained by LNG exporters from foreign customers and the increased profits enjoyed by natural gas producers will make up for the resulting declines in real wages and economic output. NERA predicts very modest increases in gross domestic product ("GDP") as a result of LNG exports.²⁸

When evaluating whether Excelerate's export application is inconsistent with the public interest, the DOE/FE should ask not only "what will we gain from LNG exports," but also "what will we give up." For instance, the DOE/FE should look behind sterile statements that

²⁵ Michael Fletcher, More Workers Raiding Retirement Account To Pay Bills," Washington Post (Jan. 15, 2013).

NERA Study at 7.

NERA Study at 53.

NERA Study at 56.

"[d]omestic industries for which natural gas is a significant component of their cost structure will experience increases in their cost of production, which will adversely impact their competitive position in a global market and harm U.S. consumers who purchase their goods," and ask "what does that mean for the public interest." A U.S. manufacturing renaissance that promises greater economic growth and job creation with positive effects rippling throughout the economy hangs in the balance. Right now, industry is poised to invest billions of dollars in new petrochemical plants, ethane crackers and other natural gas intensive facilities in the United States premised on the promise of low domestic natural gas prices.²⁹ But energy intensive manufacturing is the sector of the economy most vulnerable to increases in natural gas and electricity costs.³⁰ Prior economic data demonstrate that when domestic energy prices increase, the country loses manufacturing jobs, particularly in the fertilizer, plastics, chemicals, and steel industries.³¹

Excelerate's application claims that its export plans will create jobs, but it does not consider those jobs that will be lost or those that may never be created in the first place due to higher and more volatile natural gas prices. For example, Sasol North America, Inc. is currently considering investing in the first gas-to-liquids plant in United States, an innovative technology for producing diesel and other liquid fuels without oil, and U.S. natural gas prices are a primary consideration regarding whether the investment will go forward.³² Last year, in his State of the Union Address, President Obama spoke of "an America that attracts a new generation of high-

Press Release, Dow Chemical, *DOE Report on LNG Exports Short Changes Manufacturing and U.S. Competitiveness* (Dec. 6, 2012) available at http://www.dow.com/news/press-releases/article/?id=6138.

NERA Study at 67.

U.S. House Committee on Natural Resources Democrats, *Drill Here, Sell There, Pay More: The Painful Price of Exporting Natural Gas* (March 2012) available at http://democrats.naturalresources.house.gov/reports/drill-here-sell-there-pay-more.

Clifford Kraus, *South African Company to Build U.S. Plant to Convert Gas to Liquids*, New York Times (Dec. 3, 2012) available at: http://www.nytimes.com/2012/12/04/business/energy-environment/sasol-plans-first-gas-to-liquids-plant-in-us.html? r=0.

tech manufacturing and high-paying jobs - a future where we're in control of our own energy, and our security and prosperity aren't so tied to unstable parts of the world," and "an economy built on American manufacturing, American energy." Low natural gas prices in the U.S. provide the path forward. Higher natural gas prices due to LNG exports, including those proposed by Excelerate, threaten this nascent return of American manufacturing.

Rather than trading a few existing manufacturing jobs for a few natural gas and construction jobs, the DOE/FE should pursue policies that create new manufacturing jobs and broader economic growth in the U.S. Using natural gas for manufacturing provides a value-added benefit to the economy because industry multiplies the value of every dollar it expends on natural gas for energy or as a raw material. Rather than investing in natural gas exports, which squeeze out investments from other sectors of the economy, the U.S. should pursue policies that allow industry to invest in natural-gas dependent manufacturing. Energy and natural gas intensive manufacturing produces chemicals, metals, cement and other materials that may be low-value adding but create positive ripple effects up the value-chain and throughout the economy. Rather than exporting natural gas as a raw natural resource, the U.S. could export processed materials, such as steel, or higher value-added goods at more competitive prices, with greater benefits to the U.S. job market and GDP.

President Barack Obama, State of the Union Address (Jan. 24, 2012), transcript available at: http://www.whitehouse.gov/state-of-the-union-2012.

NERA claims that harms resulting from exports will "likely be confined to very narrow segments of industry," namely low value-added, energy intensive manufacturing. NERA Study at 67-69. NERA, however, ignores the benefits of producing materials in the U.S. that can then be used by other U.S. manufactures that are less energy intensive and higher up the value chain. For instance, if plastics are produced at competitive prices in the U.S., toy manufacturers may find it economical to "re-shore" toy manufacturing plants. Steven Mufson, *The New Boom: Shale Gas Fueling an American Industrial Revival*, Washington Post (Nov. 14, 2012).

iii. Threaten Transition from Coal

Current low natural gas prices provide an opportunity to wean the U.S. off of carbon-intensive coal. Inflated natural gas prices due to LNG exports will decrease the viability of natural gas as a bridge-fuel to a lower carbon future. Current low prices make natural gas-fired electricity generation an economically sound alternative to coal-fired generation. Sustained low prices may encourage this transition by private initiative regardless of increased environmental regulations as generators find natural gas competitive with coal. If LNG exports inflate natural gas prices, the economics turn against cleaner burning natural gas.³⁵

In addition, as discussed above, new environmental regulations will soon force coal retirements. Future greenhouse gas regulation could cause additional retirements in the future. If natural gas prices remain low, the U.S. may be able to transition away from carbon intensive coal without causing electricity prices to increase significantly. If natural gas prices are high, however, electricity prices will spike as relatively cheap coal-fired generators are forced to retire for regulatory reasons. Spiking electricity rates will have adverse rippling effects on the U.S. economy, especially energy intensive, cost-sensitive manufacturing.

iv. Keep the U.S. Dependent on Foreign Oil

Currently, the U.S. imports billions of dollars worth of oil from around the globe, a great deal of which is used for gasoline to fuel vehicles. The replacement of current gasoline-powered fleets with natural gas vehicles would significantly reduce U.S. dependence on foreign oil, and thereby enhance U.S. security and strategic interests and reduce our trade deficit. State governments and businesses are expending substantial resources today to put the needed

EIA Export Report at 17.

infrastructure in place.³⁶ Automobiles are not the only modes of transportation that businesses are interested in transitioning to natural gas; for example, a company in Canada is investing in commercial locomotives powered by LNG and teaming up with Caterpillar to employ similar technology in heavy duty equipment that currently runs on diesel.³⁷ If the DOE/FE approves Excelerate's export application along with others, the resulting increase in natural gas prices would undermine recent investments to expand natural gas as a transportation fuel.

Low natural gas prices make efforts to resuscitate American manufacturing and to transition away from coal and foreign oil economically viable. LNG exports will drive up domestic natural gas prices, thereby undermining these national priorities. The DOE/FE should not pursue an export policy that undermines the efficient, domestic use of a domestic fuel stock and America's first and best opportunity to move toward energy independence by decreasing reliance on foreign oil.

C. U.S. and Foreign Natural Gas Prices Will Converge

Excelerate's export plans likely will prove uneconomical over the long haul. Currently, there are significant disparities between domestic natural gas commodity prices and prices in some nations that rely on LNG imports. These disparities provide would-be exporters with appealing arbitrage opportunities in the short-term, but they will not last. Gas rich shale deposits are a global phenomenon that is just now beginning to be tapped. Also, despite relatively low

Officials are planning a series of compressed natural gas ("CNG") filling pumps at existing filling stations across the Pennsylvania US Route 6, stretching 400 miles from New York State near Milford, Pike County, Pa. in the east and through Crawford County, Pa. to the Ohio state line on the west, known as "PA Route 6 CNG Corridor;" at the same time, Chesapeake Energy is converting its vehicles in northeastern Pennsylvania to CNG and working with a local convenience-store chain and transit authority to foster further CNG integration. Eric Hrin, *Pennsylvania Looks to CNG*, The Daily Review Online (May 26, 2011) available at http://thedailyreview.com/news/pennsylvania-looks-to-cng-1.1135267; *see also*, Texas S.B. 20 (On July 15, 2011, the governor of Texas signed S.B. 20, supporting a network of natural gas-refueling stations along the Texas Triangle between Dallas/Ft. Worth, San Antonio, and Houston. The new legislation will lay a foundation for wider-scale deployment of heavy-duty, mid- and light-duty natural gas vehicles ("NGVs") in the Texas market).

³⁷ Rodney White, Firm on Track to Build LNG-Fueled Locomotive, Platts Gas Daily (Nov. 28, 2012).

domestic natural gas prices, certain countries, such as Qatar, can produce massive quantities of natural gas at even lower prices. As other nations develop their resources and export capacity and as U.S. natural gas prices increase due to the very exports Excelerate proposes, international and domestic prices will converge, leaving the U.S. with the worst of all worlds, i.e., higher domestic prices that thwart energy independence and that undermine the competitiveness of the manufacturing sector that relies heavily on natural gas as a process fuel.

Shale gas formations are not isolated to the United States – this is not a U.S. phenomenon; it is a world-wide phenomenon.³⁸ The State Department launched the Global Shale Gas Initiative ("GSGI") in April 2010 in order to help countries identify and develop their unconventional natural gas resources.³⁹ To date, partnerships under GSGI have been announced with China, Jordan, India, and Poland.⁴⁰ The big energy players, including ExxonMobil, Chevron, Shell, BP, etc. are spending billions of dollars world-wide to pursue shale gas plays, a

E.g., Dallas Parker, Shale Gas: Global Game Changer, Oil and Gas Financial Journal (Feb. 8, 2011); Vello A. Kuuskra and Scott A. Stevens, Worldwide Gas Shales and Unconventional Gas: A Status Report, ("The final segment of this 'paradigm shift' - - the worldwide pursuit of gas shales and unconventional gas - - has only just begun, with Australia, China and Europe in the lead. Europe's gas shale geology is challenging, but its resource endowment and potential are large.") available at:

http://www.rpsea.org/attachments/articles/239/KuuskraaHandoutPaperExpandedPresentWorldwideGasShalesPresentation.pdf. Debajyoti Chakraborty, Asia's First Shale Gas Pool Found Near Durgapur, Times of India Online, (January 26, 2011); Hillary Heuler, Shale Gas in Poland Sparks Hope of Wealth, Energy Security, Voice of America Online (June 11, 2011) (Reporting on efforts by U.S. and other western gas companies to develop gas from shale deposits); Mark Summor, The Shale Gas Run Spreads Worldwide, IPS, Deccan Herald (Aug. 1, 2011)("Recent discoveries of deeply buried oil shale layers containing natural gas or oil are being reported in Australia, Canada, Venezuela, Russia, Ukraine, Poland, France, India, China, North Africa and the Middle East. Taken together, say some energy analysts, these 'plays' could become a game-changer, making Australia and Canada into new Saudi Arabias").

See http://www.state.gov/s/ciea/gsgi/.

Id. see also, Rakteem Katakey, India Signs Accord with US to Assess Shale-Gas Reserves, Bloomberg News (November 8, 2010) (The US signed a memorandum of understanding with India to help it asses its shale gas reserves and prepare for its first shale gas auction at the end of this year.); Kate Andersen Brower and Catherine Dodge, Obama Says US, Poland Will Cooperate on Economy, Energy, Bloomberg News (May 28, 2011).
(Reporting on President Obama's pledge to share U.S. shale gas extraction expertise and technology on a recent trip to Warsaw); see also, Energy in Poland: Fracking Heaven, The Economist (June 23, 2011).

development that could eventually make producers out of potential customers for U.S. LNG.⁴¹ For instance, the United Kingdom, sometimes cited as a potential customer for U.S. LNG, recently approved hydraulic fracturing to explore its own shale formations.⁴²

The United States is at the forefront technologically of the development of shale gas reserves. A recent study by MIT concludes that the U.S. should export its technology and expertise. According to MIT, the development of international non-conventional natural gas reserves will create a more liquid market with less disparity between prices around the globe. The U.S. should follow this strategy, instead of spending billions of dollars to build facilities in order to export a commodity that will possibly be abundant world-wide before the LNG export facilities can even be completed.

The U.S. is not alone in developing LNG export capacity; investors in Australia hope to overtake Qatar as the world's largest exporter of LNG. 45 Qatar meanwhile has a moratorium on further developing its vast reserves of natural gas; natural gas is largely a by-product of liquids production in Qatar and sells for far less than even today's U.S. prices. 46 According to the NERA Study, U.S. LNG exports are vulnerable to increases in natural gas production and export capacity from Qatar, which could singlehandedly reduce foreign natural gas prices enough to make U.S. exports uncompetitive. 47

⁴¹ Ken Silverstein, Big Oil Betting on Shale Gas, EnergyBiz (July 31, 2011).

⁴² Stanley Reed, Britain Approves Fracking for Shale Gas Exploration, New York Times (Dec. 13, 2012).

MIT Energy Initiative, *The Future of Natural Gas*, at 14 (2011).

⁴⁴ *Id.*

Ross Kelly, Strong Australian dollar to help build cheap LNG export terminals, says Origin Energy CEO, The Australian (April 28, 2011) available at https://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/mining-energy/strong-australian-dollar-to-help-build-cheap-lng-export-terminals-says-origin-energy-ceo/story-e6frg9ef-1226046219296.

Evaluating the Prospects for Increased Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas from the United States, Brookings Institution, at 23 (January 2012) ("Brookings Report").

⁴⁷ NERA Study at 34.

Even more troubling than the prospect of international developments possibly lowering natural gas prices in importing countries is the fact that as the U.S. exports LNG, those exports will raise domestic prices as they lower foreign prices, bringing international prices to a new equilibrium. NERA acknowledges that domestic and international natural gas prices will tend to converge toward a global LNG price, just as they have for global oil prices, ⁴⁸ but the NERA Study assumes that Henry Hub prices will always remain lower than prices in consuming nations. ⁴⁹ It is unclear, however, how domestic prices will avoid total convergence and remain lower than international prices without DOE imposed limits on exports. Without a DOE imposed limit, domestic and foreign natural gas commodity prices will likely converge, squandering the current opportunity to foster renewed U.S. manufacturing through competitive natural gas, energy, and processed materials costs.

The U.S. has an opportunity not even imagined 2 or 3 years ago to significantly expand its manufacturing sector, to transition away from our reliance on coal-fired electricity generation without attendant price shocks, and to make real progress towards energy independence. All of this, however, depends on relatively low and stable natural gas prices (which sharply contrasts with the history of natural gas price volatility in the U.S.). DOE/FE should not turn a blind eye and allow the same businesses that gambled and lost on projections of the need for future natural gas imports to now potentially squander our Nation's future on what may well turn out to be another failed venture as natural gas production and export capacity develop throughout the world.

⁴⁸ NERA Study at 111.

⁴⁹ NERA Study at 12.

IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, APGA respectfully requests that the DOE/FE (1) grant its motion to intervene in this proceeding with all rights appurtenant to that status, and (2) deny, as inconsistent with the public interest, Excelerate's application for export authority to non-FTA Nations.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERICAN PUBLIC GAS ASSOCIATION

By Will T. Miller

William T. Miller Justin R. Cockrell Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C. Twelfth Floor 1015 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Its Attorneys

February 4, 2013

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY

Excelerate Liquefaction)	FE Docket No. 12-146-LNG
Solutions I, LLC)	
)	

VERIFICATION

WASHINGTON	§
	§
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	§

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 590.103(b) (2012), William T. Miller, being duly sworn, affirms that he is authorized to execute this verification, that he has read the foregoing document, and that all facts stated herein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

William T. Miller Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C. Twelfth Floor 1015 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 296-2960

Will T. miller

Fax: (202) 296-0166

Email: wtmiller@mbolaw.com

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of February 2013.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Motary Public, District of Columbia

My Commission Expires: My Commission Expires May 31, 2016

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY

)	
Excelerate Liquefaction)	FE Docket No. 12-146-LNG
Solutions I, LLC)	
)	

CERTIFIED STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 590.103(b) (2012), I, William T. Miller, hereby certify that I am a duly authorized representative of the American Public Gas Association, and that I am authorized to sign and file with the Department of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy, on behalf of the American Public Gas Association, the foregoing document and in the above-captioned proceeding.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of February, 2013.

William T. Miller

Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C.

Well T. Miller

Twelfth Floor

1015 Fifteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 296-2960

Fax: (202)-296-0166

Email: wtmiller@mbolaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon on the applicant and on DOE/FE for inclusion in the FE docket in the proceeding in accordance with 10 C.F.R. § 590.107(b) (2012).

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of February, 2013.

By:

Justin R. Cockrell

Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C.

Twelfth Floor

1015 Fifteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 296-2960